www.geotransinc.com

518-695-3092 FAX 518-695-3096

P.N. 117-3008051

February 17, 2010

Mr. Joseph LeMay MA Superfund Section Remedial Project Manager USEPA - New England Five Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

RE: Proposed Analyte List for VIA Work Plan

Wells G&H Superfund Site

Dear Joe:

We have evaluated the additional analytes that EPA listed for consideration in Comment 9 of its December 18, 2009 comments (EPA Comment Letter) on the October 9, 2009 draft UniFirst and Grace Vapor Intrusion Assessment (VIA) Work Plan. More specifically, we reviewed the history of volatile organic compound (VOC) detections in groundwater samples collected on the UniFirst and Grace properties in our database and, to the extent possible, compared that information to the analyte data provided by EPA via email on February 2, 2010. We also compared historical groundwater concentrations measured for each proposed analyte to the "Groundwater VI Screening Criteria" proposed in Comment 33 of the EPA Comment Letter. The screening criteria are being used here solely for purposes of selecting analytes for laboratory analysis of groundwater samples. In a separate document UniFirst and Grace will provide comments regarding the appropriateness of the EPA-derived screening criteria for the VIA.

Table 1 summarizes detections of VOCs in groundwater samples collected on the UniFirst and Grace properties. The table lists compounds, the groundwater screening criteria proposed by EPA for the VIA, maximum reported concentration, number of detections, number of wells where the compound was detected, the number of detections greater than the screening criteria, total number of samples, the number of wells samples and the rationale for selecting a compound for inclusion in the VIA analyte list. Our review of the water quality data considered the number of detections of a compound and compared the maximum detected concentration to the EPA proposed groundwater screening criteria. If a compound was detected only infrequently in samples from a well, or was not detected above the EPA proposed groundwater screening criterion, the compound was not recommended for analysis in the VIA. Table 2 is a revised list of analytes for the VI assessment.

Please contact Tim Cosgrave or Clayton Smith if you have any questions regarding the revised analyte list or would like to set up a conference call with your team to discuss the contents of this letter.

Sincerely,

Jonathan R. Bridge

Principal Hydrogeologist

CC: C. Lewis

J. Coyne

D. Sullivan

C. Smith

R. Medler

L. Duff

J. Guswa

T. Cosgrave

W. Graham

G. Bibler

M. Moore

Table 1. Evaluation of Analyte List for VI Assessment

6 -	Groundwater VI Screening Criteria	Maximum Detection*		# Locations with	# Detections > Screening	# G -	# of Locations	
Compound	(ug/L)	(μg/L)	# Detections	Detections	Criteria	# Samples	Sampled	Add to 8260B Analyte List
								No: Only 1 detection, maximum
								detection less than screening
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	3	1	1	1	0	1697	163	criterion
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	4.11	42	2	2	2	1695	163	No: Only 2 detections
								Yes: Already proposed to be
1,1-Dichloroethene	19	230	154	44	16	1713	163	analyzed
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.4	3	2	2	1	344	110	No: Only 2 detections
								Yes: Detection limit greater than
1,2-Dibromoethane	0.36	11	1	1	1	344	110	screening criterion
								No: Maximum detection less than
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	260	41.2	12	10	0	819	134	screening criterion
1,2-Dichloropropane	2.12	12	1	1	1	1697	163	No: Only 1 detection
								No: Only 1 detection, maximum
								detection less than screening
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	2.5	2	1	1	0	344	110	criterion
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	No Value Available	6.51	1	1	0	819	134	No: Only 1 detection
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2.25	18.6	1	1	1	818	134	No: Only 1 detection
1,4-Dioxane			_					No: No risk of vapor intrusion from
	No Value Available	Not Detected	0	0	0	211	56	groundwater
								No: Maximum detection less than
2-Butanone	44000	20000	55	31	0	1149	144	screening criterion
								No: Only 4 detections, maximum
								detection less than screening
2-Hexanone	787	24	4	4	0	1165	141	criterion
	1.400		_	_		4400		No: Only 7, maximum detection
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	1400	22	7	7	0	1198	146	less than screening criterion
								No: Maximum detection less than
I .	22000	12000	1.55	5 0		1100	1.40	screening criterion, common lab and
Acetone	22000	13000	177	70	0	1199	148	sampling artifact
								Yes: Detected in 17 samples, 16
D.	1.26	10	1.7	10	1.0	1,700	1.60	samples greater than screening
Benzene	1.36	13	17	13	16	1588	163	criterion
								No: Only 1 detection, maximum
n 1111 - 4	2.1	5 5 4	1	4	1	1.007	1.60	detection less than screening
Bromodichloromethane	2.1	57.4	1	1	1	1697	163	criterion
Bromoform	0.0002	3000	1	4	1	1.007	1.60	Yes: detection limit greater than
	0.0083	3000	<u> </u>	1	1	1697	163	screening criterion
								No: Only 2 detections, maximum
D 4		1		2		1.007	1.60	detection less than screening
Bromomethane	2	1	2	2	0	1697	163	criterion
O 1 1' 10' 1		4.1	20	10		1100	1 4 1	No: Maximum detection less than
Carbon disulfide	56	41	38	13	0	1166	141	screening criterion

Table 1. Evaluation of Analyte List for VI Assessment

Compound	Groundwater VI Screening Criteria (ug/L)	Maximum Detection* (µg/L)	# Detections	# Locations with Detections	# Detections > Screening Criteria	# Samples	# of Locations Sampled	Add to 8260B Analyte List
							_	Yes: Detection limit greater than
Carbon tetrachloride	0.135	260	18	16	18	1699	163	screening criterion
								No: Only 20 detections, only 1
								detection greater than screening
Chlorobenzene	39	66	20	15	1	1705	163	criterion
								No: Maximum detection less than
Chloroethane	2800	74	16	8	0	1697	163	screeing criterion
								No: only 1 detection, maximum
		0.6				1.607	1.60	detection less than screening
Dibromochloromethane	3.2	0.6	1	1	0	1697	163	criterion
								Yes: Detected in 33 samples, 29
Ethylbenzene	3.04	7400	33	12	29	1596	163	samples greater than screening criterion
	3.04	7400	33	12	29	1390	103	Yes: Detection limit greater than
Isopropylbenzene	0.84	0			0	344	110	screening criterion
Meta- & Para-Xylenes ¹	2200	450	16	10	0	500	106	Yes: Detected in 16 samples
Meta- & Para-Aylenes	2200	430	10	10	U	300	100	Yes: Detected in 321 samples, 25
								samples greater than screening
Methylene chloride	58	1390	321	112	25	1702	163	criterion
		10,0	321	112	23	1702	100	Yes: Detected in 6 samples, 2
								samples greater than the screening
Naphthalene	3.98	32000	6	6	2	430	132	criterion
								No: Only 1 detection, maximum
								detection less than screening
n-Propylbenzene	32	0.2	1	1	0	344	110	criterion
Ortho-Xylene ¹	2200	260	11	7	0	520	114	Yes: Detected in 11 samples
								No: Only 1 detection, maximum
								detection less than screening
Styrene Tetrahydrofuran	890	0.9	1	1	0	1167	141	criterion
								No: Compound was contaminant in
	No Value Available	18	10	10	0	271	71	lab supplied diffusion bag samplers
Toluene				_				Yes: Detected in 382 samples from
	150	23400	382	63	42	1595	163	several wells
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.04	1.4	1	1	1	1521	1.60	Yes: Detection limit greater than
	0.84	14	1	<u> </u>	1	1531	163	screening criterion Very Detected in 54 complex 2
								Yes: Detected in 54 samples, 2
	2200	2265	51	25		1010	154	samples greater than screening criterion
Xylenes (total) *Value bold where maximum	2200	3265	54	25	2	1019	154	CHARION

^{*}Value bold where maximum detection is greater than screening criteria.

¹Screening Criteria for Xylenes (total)

NA - not applicable

Table 2. Revised Analyte List for Vapor Intrusion Assessment

Compound
1,1,1-Trichloroethane
1,1-Dichloroethane
1,1-Dichloroethene
1,2-Dibromoethane
1,2-Dichlorethane
Benzene
Bromoform
Carbon tetrachloride
Chloroform
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Meta- & Para-Xylenes
Methylene chloride
Naphthalene
Ortho-Xylene
Tetrachloroethene
Toluene
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene
Trichloroethene
Vinyl-chloride
Xylenes (total)